

# The ATM Forum Technical Committee

Security Specification Version 1.1 Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement (PICS) Proforma Specification

af-sec-0163.000

March, 2001

#### **Copyright release for PICS:**

This PICS proforma may be freely reproduced, so that it may be used for its intended purpose.

© 2001 by The ATM Forum. This specification/document may be reproduced and distributed in whole, but (except as provided in the next sentence) not in part, for internal and informational use only and not for commercial distribution. Notwithstanding the foregoing sentence, any protocol implementation conformance statements (PICS) or implementation conformance statements (ICS) contained in this specification/document may be separately reproduced and distributed provided that it is reproduced and distributed in whole, but not in part, for uses other than commercial distribution. All other rights reserved. Except as expressly stated in this notice, no part of this specification/document may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, or stored in any information storage and retrieval system, without the prior written permission of The ATM Forum.

The information in this publication is believed to be accurate as of its publication date. Such information is subject to change without notice and The ATM Forum is not responsible for any errors. The ATM Forum does not assume any responsibility to update or correct any information in this publication. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary, neither The ATM Forum nor the publisher make any representation or warranty, expressed or implied, concerning the completeness, accuracy, or applicability of any information contained in this publication. No liability of any kind shall be assumed by The ATM Forum or the publisher as a result of reliance upon any information contained in this publication.

The receipt or any use of this document or its contents does not in any way create by implication or otherwise:

- Any express or implied license or right to or under any ATM Forum member company's patent, copyright, trademark or trade secret rights which are or may be associated with the ideas, techniques, concepts or expressions contained herein; nor
- Any warranty or representation that any ATM Forum member companies will announce any product(s) and/or service(s) related thereto, or if such announcements are made, that such announced product(s) and/or service(s) embody any or all of the ideas, technologies, or concepts contained herein; nor
- Any form of relationship between any ATM Forum member companies and the recipient or user of this document.

Implementation or use of specific ATM standards or recommendations and ATM Forum specifications will be voluntary, and no company shall agree or be obliged to implement them by virtue of participation in The ATM Forum.

The ATM Forum is a non-profit international organization accelerating industry cooperation on ATM technology. The ATM Forum does not, expressly or otherwise, endorse or promote any specific products or services.

NOTE: The user's attention is called to the possibility that implementation of the ATM interoperability specification contained herein may require use of an invention covered by patent rights held by ATM Forum Member companies or others. By publication of this ATM interoperability specification, no position is taken by The ATM Forum with respect to validity of any patent claims or of any patent rights related thereto or the ability to obtain the license to use such rights. ATM Forum Member companies agree to grant licenses under the relevant patents they own on reasonable and nondiscriminatory terms and conditions to applicants desiring to obtain such a license. For additional information contact:

The ATM Forum Worldwide Headquarters 1000 Executive Pkwy. #220 St. Louis, MO 63141 Tel: +1-314-205-0200 Fax: +1-314-576-7989

# Table of Contents

1. IN	TRODUCTION	1
1.1	Scope	1
1.2	References	1
1.3	DEFINITIONS	1
1.4	ABBREVIATIONS:	2
1.5	Conformance	2
2. II	DENTIFICATION OF THE IMPLEMENTATION	3
2.1	DATE OF THE STATEMENT	3
2.2	IMPLEMENTATION UNDER TEST (IUT) IDENTIFICATION	3
2.3	System Under Test (SUT) identification	3
2.4	PRODUCT SUPPLIER	3
2.5	CLIENT (IF DIFFERENT FROM PRODUCT SUPPLIER)	4
2.6	PICS CONTACT PERSON	4
2.7	IDENTIFICATION OF THE SECURITY SPECIFICATION	4
<b>3.</b> P	ICS PROFORMA	5
3.1	GLOBAL STATEMENT OF CONFORMANCE	5
3.2	INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING THE PICS PROFORMA	5
3.3	MAJOR CAPABILITIES (MC)	6
3.4	USER PLANE AUTHENTICATION (AUTH) CAPABILITIES (UAC)	6
3.5	USER PLANE CONFIDENTIALITY (CONF) CAPABILITIES (UCC)	7
3.6	USER PLANE DATA ORIGIN AUTHENTICATION AND INTEGRITY (INTEG) CAPABILITIES (UIC)	8
3.7	USER PLANE ACCESS CONTROL (ACC) CAPABILITIES (UACC)	8
3.8	CONTROL PLANE AUTHENTICATION AND INTEGRITY (CP-AUTH) CAPABILITIES (CPC)	9

# 1. Introduction

To evaluate conformance of a particular implementation, it is necessary to have a statement of which capabilities and options that has been implemented for a telecommunication specification. Such a statement is called a Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement (PICS).

#### 1.1 Scope

The present document provides the Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement (PICS) proforma for the Security Specification Version 1.1 defined in af-sec-0100.002 in compliance with the relevant requirements, and in accordance with the relevant guidance given in ISO/IEC 9646-7.

#### 1.2 References

- [1] af-sec-0100.002: March 2001, ATM Forum, "ATM Security Specification Version 1.1".
- ISO/IEC 9646-1:1994, Information technology Open systems interconnection Conformance testing methodology and framework - Part 1: General Concepts. (See also ITU Recommendation X.290(1995)).
- [3] ISO/IEC 9646-7: 1995, Information technology Open Systemd interconnection Conformance testing methodology and framework Part 7: Implementation Conformance Statements (see also ITU-T Recommendation X.296 (1995)).
- [4] ISO/IEC 9646-3: 1998, Information technology Open Systems interconnection Conformance testing methodology and framework – Part 3: The Tree a d Tabular Combined Notation (TTCN) (see also ITU-T Recommendation X.292 (1998)).

#### 1.3 Definitions

This document uses the following terms defined in ISO/IEC 9646-1[1]:

**Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement (PICS):** A statement made by the supplier of an implementation or system claimed to conform to a given specification, stating which capabilities have been implemented for a given protocol

**PICS proforma**: A document, in the form of a questionnaire, designed by the protocol specifier or conformance test suite specifier, which when completed for an implementation or system becomes an PICS.

#### 1.4 Abbreviations:

CP-AUTH	Control Plane Authentication
CPC	Control Plane Authentication & Integrity Capabilities
IUT	Implementation under test
MC	Major Capabilities
PICS	Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement
SME-1	In-band security messaging
SME-2	Signaling-based two-way messaging with fall-back to in-band security messaging
SME-3	Signaling-based security two-way messaging for the pt-mpt ADD PARTY message
SUT	System under test
UAC	User Plane Authentication Capabilities
UACC	User Plane Access Control Capabilities
UCC	User Plane Confidentiality Capabilities
UIC	User Plane Data Origin Authentication & Integrity Capabilities

### 1.5 Conformance

This PICS does not modify any of the requirements detailed in af-sec-0100.002. In case of apparent conflict between the statements in the base specification and the annotations of "M" (mandatory) and "O" (optional) in this PICS, the text of the base specification takes precedence.

For each protocol implementation for which conformance is claimed to the ATM Forum Security Specification Version 1.1, the supplier is required to complete a copy of the PICS proforma provided in this document and is required to provide the information necessary to identify both the supplier and the implementation.

# 2. Identification of the Implementation

Identification of the Implementation Under Test (IUT) and the system in which it resides (the System Under Test (SUT)) should be filled in so as to provide as much detail as possible regarding version numbers and configuration options.

The product supplier information and client information should both be filled in if they are different.

A person who can answer queries regarding information supplied in the PICS should be named as the contact person.

#### 2.1 Date of the statement

#### 2.2 Implementation Under Test (IUT) identification

IUT name:

IUT version:

# 2.3 System Under Test (SUT) identification

SUT name:

	 ••••••	 ••••••	
Hardware configuration:	 	 	
Onomotin a system.	 	 	
Operating system:			

# 2.4 Product supplier

Name:

Address:			
	 	•••••	
	 	••••••	
Telephone number:	 		
Facsimile number:	 		
E-mail address:	 		
Additional information:	 		
	 	••••••	
	 	••••••	
	 •••••	••••••	

# 2.5 Client (if different from product supplier)

Name:

•••••
· · · · · · · ·
· · · ·

# 2.6 PICS contact person

(A person to contact if there are any queries concerning the content of the PICS) Name:

elephone number:	
acsimile number:	
mail address:	
dditional information:	

# 2.7 Identification of the Security Specification

This PICS proforma applies to the following standard:

af-sec-0100.002 (March, 2001): "Security Specification Version 1.1".

# 3. PICS Proforma

#### 3.1 Global statement of conformance

The implementation described in this PICS meets all of the mandatory requirements of the reference protocol.

[]YES

[]NO

Note: Answering "No" indicates non-conformance to the Security Specification Version 1.1. Nonsupported mandatory capabilities are to be identified in the following tables, with an explanation by the implementor specify why the implementation is non-conforming.

# **3.2 Instructions for Completing the PICS Proforma**

The supplier of the implementation shall complete the ICS proforma in each of the spaces provided. In particular, an explicit answer shall be entered, in each of the support column boxes provided, using the specified notation.

The supplier of the implementation shall fill in the support column. The following notations, defined in ISO/IEC 9646-7, are used for the support column:

- Y or y supported by the implementation.
- N or n not supported by the implementation.

N/A no answer required (allowed only if the status is n/a, directly or after evaluation of a conditional status).

The following notations defined in ISO/IEC 9646-7, are used for the status column:

- C.n Conditional (may be selected to suit the implementation, provided that any requirements applicable to the options are observed).
- M mandatory the capability is required to be supported.
- N/A not applicable in the context, it is impossible to use the capability.
- O optional the capability may be supported or not.
- O.i qualified optional for mutually exclusive or selectable options from a set. "i" is an integer which identifies an unique group of related optional items and the logic of their selection which is defined immediately following the table.
- X prohibited there is a requirement not to use the capability in the given context.

Item	Does the Implementation	Reference	Status	Conditions for Support	Support
MC1	Supports at least one of SME Profile: (SME-1, SME-2, SME-3)	1.6.2	М		SME-1: Yes No   SME-2: Yes No   SME-3: Yes No
MC2	Support User Plane Authentication (AUTH)?	3.1, 7.2.2.3	0.1		YesNo
MC3	Support User Plane Confidentiality (CONF)?	3.1, 7.2.2.1	0.1		YesNo
MC4	Support User Plane Data Origin Authentication and Integrity (INTEG)?	3.1, 7.2.2.2	0.1		YesNo
MC5	Support User Plane Access Control (ACC)?	3.1, 7.2.2.6	0.1		YesNo
MC6	Support Control Plane Authentication and Integrity (CP-AUTH)?	4.1	0.1		YesNo

# 3.3 Major Capabilities (MC)

O.1: Mandatory to support at least one of these capabilities.

# 3.4 User Plane Authentication (AUTH) Capabilities (UAC)

Item	Does the Implementation	Reference	Status	Conditions for Support	Support
UAC1	Supports SME Profile 1 (SME-1)?	1.6.2, 5.1	C.1		YesNo
UAC2	Supports SME Profile 2 (SME-2)?	1.6.2, 5.1	C.1		YesNo
UAC3	Supports SME Profile 3 (SME-3)?	1.6.2, 5.1	C.1		YesNo
UAC4	Support Security Algorithm Profile AUTH-1?	1.6.1, 3.1, 7.2.3.7	0.2		YesNo
UAC5	Support Security Algorithm Profile AUTH-2?	1.6.1, 3.1, 7.2.3.7	0.2		YesNo
UAC6	Support Security Algorithm Profile AUTH-3?	1.6.1, 3.1, 7.2.3.7	O.2		YesNo
UAC7	Support Security Algorithm Profile AUTH-4?	1.6.1, 3.1, 7.2.3.7	O.2		YesNo
UAC8	Support Security Algorithm Profile AUTH-5?	1.6.1, 3.1, 7.2.3.7	O.2		YesNo
UAC9	Support Security Algorithm Profile AUTH-6?	1.6.1, 3.1, 7.2.3.7	0.2		YesNo
UAC10	Support one or more User-Defined Security Algorithms?	1.6.1, 3.1, 7.2.3.7	0.2		YesNo

C.1: IF supports UAC THEN mandatory to support at least one of these SME Profiles ELSE O.

O.2: Mandatory to support at least one of these capabilities.

Item	Does the Implementation	Reference	Status	Conditions for Support	Support
UCC1	Supports SME Profile 1 (SME-1)?	1.6.2, 5.1	C.2	••	YesNo
UCC2	Supports SME Profile 2 (SME-2)?	1.6.2, 5.1	C.2		YesNo
UCC3	Supports SME Profile 3 (SME-3)?	1.6.2, 5.1	C.2		YesNo
UCC4	Support Confidentiality Algorithm Profile CONF-1?	1.6.1, 3.2, 7.2.3.9	0.3		YesNo
UCC5	Support Confidentiality Algorithm Profile CONF-2?	1.6.1, 3.2, 7.2.3.9	0.3		YesNo
UCC6	Support Confidentiality Algorithm Profile CONF-3?	1.6.1, 3.2, 7.2.3.9	0.3		YesNo
UCC7	Support Confidentiality Algorithm Profile CONF-4?	1.6.1, 3.2, 7.2.3.9	0.3		YesNo
UCC8	Support Confidentiality Algorithm Profile CONF-5?	1.6.1, 3.2, 7.2.3.9	0.3		YesNo
UCC9	Support Confidentiality Algorithm Profile CONF-6?	1.6.1, 3.2, 7.2.3.9	0.3		YesNo
UCC10	Support Confidentiality Algorithm Profile CONF-7?	1.6.1, 3.2, 7.2.3.9	0.3		YesNo
UCC11	Support Confidentiality Algorithm Profile CONF-8?	1.6.1, 3.2, 7.2.3.9	0.3		YesNo
UCC12	Support Confidentiality Algorithm Profile CONF-9?	1.6.1, 3.2, 7.2.3.9	0.3		YesNo
UCC13	Support Confidentiality Algorithm Profile CONF-10?	1.6.1, 3.2, 7.2.3.9	0.3		YesNo
UCC14	Support Confidentiality Algorithm Profile CONF-11?	1.6.1, 3.2, 7.2.3.9	0.3		YesNo
UCC15	Support Confidentiality Algorithm Profile CONF-12?	1.6.1, 3.2, 7.2.3.9	0.3		YesNo
UCC16	Support Confidentiality Algorithm Profile CONF-13?	1.6.1, 3.2, 7.2.3.9	0.3		YesNo
UCC17	Support Confidentiality Algorithm Profile CONF-14?	1.6.1, 3.2, 7.2.3.9	0.3		YesNo
UCC18	Support Confidentiality Algorithm Profile CONF-15?	1.6.1, 3.2, 7.2.3.9	0.3		YesNo
UCC19	Support Confidentiality Algorithm Profile CONF-16?	1.6.1, 3.2, 7.2.3.9	0.3		YesNo
UCC20	Support Confidentiality Algorithm Profile CONF-17?	1.6.1, 3.2, 7.2.3.9	0.3		YesNo
UCC21	Support Confidentiality Algorithm Profile CONF-18?	1.6.1, 3.2, 7.2.3.9	0.3		YesNo
UCC22	Support Confidentiality Algorithm Profile CONF-19?	1.6.1, 3.2, 7.2.3.9	0.3		YesNo
UCC23	Support Confidentiality Algorithm Profile CONF-20?	1.6.1, 3.2, 7.2.3.9	0.3		YesNo
UCC24	Support one or more User-Defined Security Algorithms?	1.6.1, 3.2, 7.2.3.9	0.3		YesNo

# 3.5 User Plane Confidentiality (CONF) Capabilities (UCC)

C.2: IF supports UCC THEN mandatory to support at least one of these SME Profiles ELSE O.

O.3: Mandatory to support at least one of these capabilities.

Item	Does the Implementation	Reference	Status	Conditions for Support	Support
UIC1	Supports SME Profile 1 (SME-1)?	1.6.2, 5.1	C.3		YesNo
UIC2	Supports SME Profile 2 (SME-2)?	1.6.2, 5.1	C.3		YesNo
UIC3	Supports SME Profile 3 (SME-3)?	1.6.2, 5.1	C.3		Yes No
UIC4	Support Integrity Algorithm Profile INTEG-1?	1.6.1, 3.3, 7.2.3.8	0.4		YesNo
UIC5	Support Integrity Algorithm Profile INTEG-2?	1.6.1, 3.3, 7.2.3.8	O.4		Yes No
UIC6	Support Integrity Algorithm Profile INTEG-3?	1.6.1, 3.3, 7.2.3.8	O.4		Yes No
UIC7	Support Integrity Algorithm Profile INTEG-4?	1.6.1, 3.3, 7.2.3.8	O.4		Yes No
UIC8	Support Integrity Algorithm Profile INTEG-5?	1.6.1, 3.3, 7.2.3.8	O.4		Yes No
UIC9	Support Integrity Algorithm Profile INTEG-6?	1.6.1, 3.3, 7.2.3.8	O.4		Yes No
UIC10	Support Integrity Algorithm Profile INTEG-7?	1.6.1, 3.3, 7.2.3.8	O.4		Yes No
UIC11	Support Integrity Algorithm Profile INTEG-8?	1.6.1, 3.3, 7.2.3.8	O.4		Yes No
UIC12	Support Integrity Algorithm Profile INTEG-9?	1.6.1, 3.3, 7.2.3.8	O.4		Yes No
UIC13	Support Integrity Algorithm Profile INTEG-10?	1.6.1, 3.3, 7.2.3.8	O.4		Yes No
UIC14	Support Integrity Algorithm Profile INTEG-11?	1.6.1, 3.3, 7.2.3.8	O.4		Yes No
UIC15	Support one or more User-Defined Security Algorithm?	1.6.1, 3.3, 7.2.3.8	0.4		Yes No
UIC16	Support negotiation of Integrity either with or without replay protection at set-up time?	1.6.1, 3.3, 7.2.3.8	0.4		YesNo

# 3.6 User Plane Data Origin Authentication and Integrity (INTEG) Capabilities (UIC)

C3: IF supports UIC THEN mandatory to support at least one of these SME Profiles ELSE O. O.4: Mandatory to support at least one of these capabilities.

# 3.7 User Plane Access Control (ACC) Capabilities (UACC)

Item	Does the Implementation	Reference	Status	Conditions for Support	Support
UACC1	Supports ACC Profile ACC-1?	1.6.1, 3.4, 7.2.2.6	М		YesNo

Item	Does the Implementation	Reference	Status	Conditions for Support	Support
CPC1	Supports SME Profile 1 (SME-1)?	1.6.1, 4	C.4		YesNo
CPC2	Supports SME Profile 2 (SME-2)?	1.6.1, 4	C.4		YesNo
CPC3	Supports SME Profile 3 (SME-3)?	1.6.1, 4	C.4		YesNo
CPC4	Support Integrity Algorithm Profile INTEG-1?	1.6.1, 4	0.5		YesNo
CPC5	Support Integrity Algorithm Profile INTEG-2?	1.6.1, 4	0.5		YesNo

# 3.8 Control Plane Authentication and Integrity (CP-AUTH) Capabilities (CPC)

C.4: IF Supports CPC THEN mandatory to support at least one of these SME Profiles ELSE O.

O.5: Mandatory to support at least one of these capabilities.